

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

CHARLEEN DIANE HEASLEY,

Defendant and Appellant.

B222857

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. MA046013)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Benny C. Osorio, Judge. Appeal dismissed.

Kevin D. Sheehy, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Charleen Diane Heasley entered a negotiated plea of no contest to charges of second degree burglary and possession of methamphetamine. In conformity with the plea agreement, the trial court suspended imposition of sentence and placed defendant on formal probation for three years. Defendant's plea was based on a June 17, 2009 incident in which she and her codefendant were seen removing a mattress from an uninhabited house shortly after midnight. Deputies stopped their truck about one mile away and found several plastic bags containing methamphetamine inside the passenger compartment. As part of the plea agreement, the court dismissed four other charges.

Defendant filed a timely appeal, but did not obtain a certificate of probable cause. We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. On May 28, 2010, we advised defendant she had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues she wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that defendant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.) Defendant's no contest plea and failure to obtain a certificate of probable cause limit the potential scope of defendant's appeal to "[g]rounds that arose after entry of the plea and do not affect the plea's validity" or "[t]he denial of a motion to suppress evidence under Penal Code section 1538.5." (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.304(b); see Pen. Code, § 1237.5.) The record does not demonstrate the existence of any such issue.

DISPOSITION

The appeal is dismissed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

MALLANO, P. J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, J.

CHANEY, J.